# THE IMPACT OF THE INFLUENCE OF FOUR FOOD FACTORS AND THEIR COMBINATION IN MILK PRODUCTION IN CATTLE BY THE MATHEMATICAL MODELING

# Majlinda Belegu<sup>1</sup>, Silvana Mustafaj<sup>1</sup>, Edmond Kadiu<sup>2</sup>, Erdit Nesturi<sup>3</sup>, Flora Merko<sup>4</sup>, Valentina Nikolli <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Agricultural University of Tirana. Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Tirana. Albania. E mail: majlindabelegu@ yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup> Agricultural University of Tirana. Department of Rural Tourism Management. Tirana. Albania
 <sup>3</sup> Agricultural University of Tirana. Department of Economics and Agrarian Policy. Tirana. Albania
 <sup>4</sup> Department of Economic Sciences, University "Aleksander Moisiu ", Durrës. Albania

## Abstract

In this article published economic analysis of the impact of the four factors in the production of milk food and use of mathematical models in the livestock sector. The main purpose of this paper is the use of modern methods in economic analysis of use of resources in a farming complex. Livestock development in general and milk production in particular is closely linked to many factors which are the main breeding. This study analyzes the economic impact of four components ration (wet food, dry food, concentrate and mineral salts) used for milk production. The study was conducted in Lushnje district. Are analyzed and processed data feeding phases (1-up in 150 days lactation, 2-over 150 days lactation and 3- period of drying), milk production, for a period of 9 years. This study confirms that balanced nutrition is a major factor in increasing economic efficiency of farms. Another important conclusion of this study is that maximum revenue and profit maximization farm reached at the same point on the expansion path where the cost is minimal (Beattie B R, Taylor C R Beattie B R, Taylor C R, 1993). In proportion to the daily ration we have to be awarded: 59.67% wet food, dry food 27.13% and 13% koncetrat 0.20 % mineral salts of 51.42 kg food per day.

**Keywords:***optimal structure, milk production, food ration. izokuant, expansion path, optimal production.* 

# Introduction

This study publishes the economic analyses of the impact of four nutrition factors – moisture food, dry food, concentrate and mineral salts in milk yield, as well as the use of production function in agricultural sector.

The main purpose of this study is to use contemporary methods in the economic analyses of resource usage, all this made concreate in a livestock complex.

Milk production mainly from the caws varies in different areas of Albania. Nowdays the sustainable development of agricultural farms and especially of livestock farms requires product

optimization and at the same time the continuous analyses of economic and technical impact factors.

The production function used during the study is that of Cobb-Douglas and it aims to analyse the impact of the four impact nutrition factors on caw's milk production. To be successful, dairy producers must master all aspects of dairy management. Proper dry cow nutrition and management is critical, since decisions made during this period will have a tremendous impact on milk production and health during the next lactation (Waldner D N, 1990). This study proves once more that using cattle volume nutrition system in our country's conditions makes up the primary factor for increasing the economic effectivity of farms. At the end of the study it is proved that the maximal income and profits in cattle farms are reached at the same point of expansion path where the cost is minimal.

## **Results and discussions:**

- The production function forms for milk is determined.
- The suitability of selected models is proved.
- The optimal combination of inputs (food ration structure) to maximize gain and minimize costs is discovered.
- It is used the method of linear regression to determine the parameters of the model through the packet of econometric computerized programmes SPSS.
- It found a general formula for the optimal combination of three inputs with one output with the relevant data to a Cobb- Douglas production function.

# Material and method

The livestock complex studied for this purpose was "Agrotex" in Lushnje disctrict. The data of feeding through stages was analysed and processed (1- up to 150 days of lactation, 2- over 150 days of lactation and 3- dry period), as well as data on milk yield. These data were analysed for a ten-year period.

In order to realize a more accurate dependance of the newborn calves' weight and milk quantity from inputs (food) it is procedeed according months. The average values of milk yield and average quantity of food were grouped thoughout a year (per months) according to the 3 stages of caw treatment (Edwards C H, 1994). After data processing there were built concrete function, milk yield analyses, in relation to the four production factors (moisture, dry, concentrate food and mineral salts).

The production function was requested in the following form  $y = Ax_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta} x_3^{\gamma}$ 

The appeal of the Cobb-Douglas type of function rests largely with its simplicity (Debertin D L, 1986).

Linear regression method was used to determine  $\log A, \alpha, \beta dhe \gamma$  through the econometric computerized programmes SPSS, from which resulted that the models are suitable. We can

save predicted values, residuals, and other statistics useful for diagnostics. Each selection adds one or more new variables to your active data file (SPSS : IBM Statistics Base 19, 2010).

It came out that the models were appropriate. The presence of association does not necessarily imply causation. Statistical tests can only establish whether or not an association exists between

Variables (Mc Guigan J R, Moyer R C, Harris F H, 2008). It is confirmed the hypothesis for the importance general regression and shown that at least one of the variables provides information for prognosis of y (Myslym Osmani, 2011). Based on these data, the following production functions were built:

 $y = 34.055788x_1^{0.243}x_2^{0.143}x_3^{0.218}x_4^{0.025}$  where  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1$  show respectively the amount of moisture dry concentrate food and minoral salts average milk production

moisture, dry, concentrate food and mineral salts, average milk production,

The production function was requested in the form  $y = Ax_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta} x_3^{\gamma} x_4^{\delta}$ .

We take the natural logarithms of both sides of above reconciliation

 $\ln y = \ln A + \alpha \ln x_1 + \beta \ln x_2 + \gamma \ln x_3 + \delta \ln x_4$ 

It uses the linear regression method for determining of  $\ln A, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  by computer econometric software package SPSS. It showed that the model is appropriate and values were found respectively: A = 34.055788,  $\alpha = 0.243$ ,  $\beta = 0.143$ ,  $\gamma = 0.218$ ,  $\delta = 0.025$ 

## Suitability of the model

Descriptive statistics of variables and comprehensive regression results are presented in the tables below

|      |       |       |          |            |                   | -      |     |     |        |         |
|------|-------|-------|----------|------------|-------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|---------|
| -    |       |       |          |            | Change Statistics |        |     |     |        |         |
|      |       | R     |          | Std. Error | R                 |        |     |     | Sig. F |         |
| Mode |       | Squar | Adjusted | of the     | Square            | F      |     |     | Chang  | Durbin- |
| 1    | R     | e     | R Square | Estimate   | Change            | Change | df1 | df2 | e      | Watson  |
| 1    | .987ª | .974  | .973     | .00851     | .974              | 981.56 | 4   | 103 | .000   | 2.174   |
|      |       |       |          |            |                   | 6      |     |     |        |         |

| Model | <b>Summary</b> <sup>b</sup> |
|-------|-----------------------------|
|-------|-----------------------------|

| Model |            | Sum of<br>Squares | df  | Mean<br>Square | F       | Sig.              |
|-------|------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|---------|-------------------|
| 1     | Regression | .285              | 4   | .071           | 981.566 | .000 <sup>a</sup> |
|       | Residual   | .007              | 103 | .000           |         |                   |
|       | Total      | .292              | 107 |                |         |                   |

| AN | OV | Ά | b |
|----|----|---|---|

a. Predictors: (Constant), x4, x1, x2, x3

b. Dependent Variable: y1

### A generalization of the production function Coob-Douglas with four factors.

Initially, there was a generalization for the Cobb - Douglas production function  $y = Ax_1^{\alpha} x_2^{\beta} x_3^{\gamma} x_4^{\delta}$  (1) giving full factor-factor and factor-product model in the general case (Themelko Henrieta, 1998).

- The izokuant equation is:  $x_4 = \left(\frac{y}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} x_1^{-\frac{\alpha}{\delta}} x_2^{-\frac{\beta}{\delta}} x_3^{-\frac{\gamma}{\delta}}$  (2)
- The izocosts equation is:  $C = p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 + p_3 x_3 + p_4 x_4$  (3)
- The expansion path equation is:  $\frac{p_1 x_1}{\alpha} = \frac{p_2 x_2}{\beta} = \frac{p_3 x_3}{\gamma} = \frac{p_4 x_4}{\delta}$  (\*)

The expansion path passes through the points of meeting "plans" izocosts (3) and "surfaces" of izoquants (2)

• The equations of "pseudo scale line" are in the following equations

$$S_1: x_4 = \left(\frac{p_1}{A\alpha p_y}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} x_1^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\delta}} x_2^{-\frac{\beta}{\delta}} x_3^{-\frac{\gamma}{\delta}}$$

#### Unstandardiz Standardize 95.0% Collinearity ed d Confidence Coefficients Coefficients Interval for B Correlations **Statistics** Zero-Std. Lower Upper Toleran Model В VIF Error Beta Sig. Bound Bound order Partial Part ce t 1(Consta 3.52 .305 11.57 .000 2.923 4.132 5 nt) 8 .244 4.773 .243 .142 .959 .426 .075 .095 10.497 x1 .051 .000 .344 x2 .143 .045 .312 3.146 .002 .053 .232 .977 .296 .050 .025 39.579 .527 5.005 .079 .022 44.628 x3 .218 .044 .000 .132 .305 .980 .442 .025 .097 2.834 -.831 .269 .214 4.676 x4 .009 .006 .007 .042 .045

a. Dependent Variable: y1

$$S_2: x_4 = \left(\frac{p_2}{A\beta p_y}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} x_1^{-\frac{\alpha}{\delta}} x_2^{\frac{1-\beta}{\delta}} x_3^{-\frac{\gamma}{\delta}}$$
(4)

#### **Coefficients**<sup>a</sup>

$$S_{3}: x_{4} = \left(\frac{p_{3}}{A\gamma p_{y}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} x_{1}^{-\frac{\alpha}{\delta}} x_{2}^{-\frac{\beta}{\delta}} x_{3}^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\delta}}$$
$$S_{4}: x_{4} = \left(\frac{p_{4}}{A\delta p_{y}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta-1}} x_{1}^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\delta}} x_{2}^{\frac{\beta}{1-\delta}} x_{3}^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\delta}}$$

Have confirmed that four "pseudo scale lines" expected in a "point" of the expansion path.

We extracted (\*\*)

$$C = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \left(\frac{p_1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}} \left(\frac{p_2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}} \left(\frac{p_3}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}} \left(\frac{p_4}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}} \left(\frac{y}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}}$$
(\*\*)  
and  $y^* = \frac{A^{\frac{1}{1-(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)}}}{\left(\frac{p_1}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)}} \left(\frac{p_2}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{1-(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)}} \left(\frac{p_3}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{1-(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)}} \left(\frac{p_4}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{1-(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)}}$ 

It is proved that the profit function F has a maximum for  $y^*$  given by above equalization or  $C^*$  given by equation (\*\*) and the values  $x_1^*$ ,  $x_2^*$ ,  $x_3^*$ ,  $x_4^*$  given by equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta} \frac{C^*}{p_1} \\ x_2^* = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta} \frac{C^*}{p_2} \\ x_3^* = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta} \frac{C^*}{p_3} \\ x_4^* = \frac{\delta}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta} \frac{C^*}{p_4} \end{cases}$$
(5).

$$F_{maks} = \left[1 - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta) \left[ \left(\frac{\alpha}{p_1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\beta}{p_2}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{\gamma}{p_3}\right)^{\gamma} \left(\frac{\delta}{p_4}\right)^{\delta} Ap_y \right]^{\frac{1}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)}}$$

(Sydsaeter K, Hammond P J, 1995) and (Lambert P J, 1995).

Through the latest formula can be gauged the maximum of the profit directly, using the combination of inputs with minimal cost to the level of output  $y^*$ . It is proven that the point  $(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*, x_4^*)$  is in each "pseudo scale line" to (4).

### • The maximum revenues

We form the Lagrange function of the revenues (Chiang A C, 1984):

$$L = Ax_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} x_{3}^{\gamma} x_{4}^{\delta} + \lambda (C^{*} - p_{1}x_{1} - p_{2}x_{2} - p_{3}x_{3} - p_{4}x_{4})$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{1}} = A\alpha x_{1}^{\alpha-1} x_{2}^{\beta} x_{3}^{\gamma} x_{4}^{\delta} - \lambda p_{1} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{2}} = A\beta x_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta-1} x_{3}^{\gamma} x_{4}^{\delta} - \lambda p_{2} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{3}} = A\gamma x_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} x_{3}^{\gamma-1} x_{4}^{\delta} - \lambda p_{3} = 0 \qquad (***) \Rightarrow \text{ (are the same solution as in equation} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{4}} = A\delta x_{1}^{\alpha} x_{2}^{\beta} x_{3}^{\gamma} x_{4}^{\delta-1} - \lambda p_{4} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = C^{*} - p_{1}x_{1} - p_{2}x_{2} - p_{3}x_{3} - p_{4}x_{4} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(5))

The value of  $\lambda_{by}$  the equation (\*\*\*) is  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{C^*} A(x_1^*)^{\alpha} (x_2^*)^{\beta} (x_3^*)^{\gamma} (x_4^*)^{\delta}$ . We define the signs of determinant's minors and self-determinant of Hessian border.

$$\overline{|H|} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_1 & a_1 & a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ p_2 & a_2 & b_1 & d_2 & e_2 \\ p_3 & b_2 & d_2 & c_1 & f_2 \\ p_4 & c_2 & e_2 & f_2 & d_1 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$\overline{|H_1|} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & p_1 \\ p_1 & a_1 \end{vmatrix} = -p_1^2 < 0 \quad .$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{|H_2|} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & p_1 & p_2 \\ p_1 & a_1 & a_2 \\ p_2 & a_2 & b_1 \end{vmatrix} = 2a_2p_1p_2 - b_1(p_1)^2 - a_1(p_2)^2 > 0 \\ \overline{|H_3|} &= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & a_1 & a_2 & b_2 \\ p_2 & a_2 & b_1 & d_2 \\ p_3 & b_2 & d_2 & c_1 \end{vmatrix} = -p_1 \begin{vmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ a_2 & b_1 & d_2 \\ b_2 & d_2 & c_1 \end{vmatrix} + p_2 \begin{vmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ a_1 & a_2 & b_2 \\ b_2 & d_2 & c_1 \end{vmatrix} - p_3 \begin{vmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ a_1 & a_2 & b_2 \\ a_2 & b_1 & d_2 \end{vmatrix} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{|H|} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_1 & a_1 & a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ p_2 & a_2 & b_1 & d_2 & e_2 \\ p_3 & b_2 & d_2 & c_1 & f_2 \\ p_4 & c_2 & e_2 & f_2 & d_1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)\alpha \beta \gamma \delta t^2 y^3}{x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2} \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

We proved and the sufficient maximum conditions. It is proved that the maximum profit and maximum revenue achieved in the same point of the expansion path where the cost is minimal (Belegu M, Sallaku E, 2008).

 $p_1 = 6.8$  ,  $p_2 = 8.8$  ,  $p_3 = 28$  ,  $p_4 = 210$  ,  $p_y = 47$ 

So the production function is:

$$y = 34.055788x_1^{0.243}x_2^{0.143}x_3^{0.218}x_4^{0.025}$$

The prices are:

.

• The izokuant equation is: 
$$x_4 = \left(\frac{y}{34.055788}\right)^{\frac{1}{0.025}} x_1^{-\frac{0.243}{0.025}} x_2^{-\frac{0.143}{0.025}} x_3^{-\frac{0.218}{0.025}}$$

• The izocosts equation is:

$$C = 6.8 \cdot x_1 + 8.8 \cdot x_2 + 28 \cdot x_3 + 210 \cdot x_4$$

- The expansion path equation is:  $\frac{6.8x_1}{0.243} = \frac{8.8x_2}{0.143} = \frac{28x_3}{0.218} = \frac{210x_4}{0.025}$
- The equations of "pseudo scale line" are in the following equations

$$S_{1}: x_{4} = \left(\frac{6.8}{34.055788 \cdot 0.243 \cdot 47}\right)^{\frac{1}{0.025}} x_{1}^{\frac{0.757}{0.025}} x_{2}^{\frac{0.143}{0.025}} x_{3}^{\frac{0.218}{0.025}}$$

$$S_{2}: x_{4} = \left(\frac{8.8}{34.055788 \cdot 0.143 \cdot 47}\right)^{\frac{1}{0.025}} x_{1}^{-\frac{0.243}{0.025}} x_{2}^{\frac{0.857}{0.025}} x_{3}^{\frac{0.218}{0.025}}$$

$$S_{3}: x_{4} = \left(\frac{28}{34.055788 \cdot 0.218 \cdot 47}\right)^{\frac{1}{0.025}} x_{1}^{\frac{0.243}{0.025}} x_{2}^{\frac{0.143}{0.025}} x_{3}^{\frac{0.782}{0.025}}$$

$$S_{4}: x_{4} = \left(\frac{210}{34.055788 \cdot 0.025 \cdot 47}\right)^{\frac{1}{-0.975}} x_{1}^{\frac{0.243}{0.975}} x_{2}^{\frac{0.143}{0.975}} x_{3}^{\frac{0.218}{0.975}}$$

• In the general case is proved that the maximum of the profit is achieved if:

$$y^{*} = \frac{34.055788^{\frac{1}{0.371}} 40^{\frac{0.629}{0.371}}}{\left(\frac{6.8}{0.243}\right)^{\frac{0.243}{0.371}} \left(\frac{8.8}{0.143}\right)^{\frac{0.143}{0.371}} \left(\frac{28}{0.218}\right)^{\frac{0.218}{0.371}} \left(\frac{210}{0.025}\right)^{\frac{0.025}{0.371}} = 6667.54036 \, kg$$

• The minimal cost is:

$$C = 0.629 \left(\frac{6.8}{0.243}\right)^{\frac{0.243}{0.629}} \left(\frac{8.8}{0.143}\right)^{\frac{0.143}{0.629}} \left(\frac{28}{0.218}\right)^{\frac{0.218}{0.629}} \left(\frac{210}{0.025}\right)^{\frac{0.025}{0.629}} \left(\frac{6667.540436}{34.055788}\right)^{\frac{1}{0.629}}$$
 or

C=197112.4979 lekë.

So is the maximum profit per year for a cow is F = 47.6667.540436 - 197112.4979 = 116261.9026 ALL. The cost for a kg of milk will be 29,563 = 29.6 ALL. The profit for 1 kg milk is 17,437 ALL.

• The maximal profit is:

$$F = 0.371 \left[ \left( \frac{0.243}{6.8} \right)^{0.243} \left( \frac{0.143}{8.8} \right)^{0.143} \left( \frac{0.218}{28} \right)^{0.218} \left( \frac{0.025}{210} \right)^{0.025} \cdot 34.055788 \cdot 47 \right]^{\frac{1}{0.371}} = 116261.9025$$

In the general case it was proved that the values of inputs that have the maximum of the profit are given by the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_{1}^{*} = \frac{0.243}{0.629} \frac{197112.4979}{6.8} \\ x_{2}^{*} = \frac{0.143}{0.629} \frac{197112.4979}{8.8} \\ x_{3}^{*} = \frac{0.218}{0.629} \frac{197112.4979}{28} \\ x_{3}^{*} = \frac{0.025}{0.629} \frac{197112.4979}{28} \\ x_{4}^{*} = \frac{0.025}{0.629} \frac{197112.4979}{210} \end{cases}$$
 ose 
$$\begin{cases} x_{1}^{*} = 11198.52637 \ kg \\ x_{2}^{*} = 5092.334007 \ kg \\ x_{3}^{*} = 2439.843547 \ kg \\ x_{4}^{*} = 37.30647625 \ kg \\ x_{4}^{*} = 37.30647625 \ kg \\ x_{4}^{*} = 37.30647625 \ kg \\ x_{4}^{*} = 102.2095240 \ gr \end{cases}$$

Well will be awarded 30.68 kg of wet food, 13.95 kg dry food, 6.68 kg concentrate and 102.21 g mineral salts in a day that a cow produce 6667.54 kg of milk a year or 18:27 kg of milk per day. In proportion to the daily ration we will have to be given: 59.67 % wet food, dry food 27.13 % dry food, 13% concentrate and 0.20 % mineral salts of 51.42 kg food per day.

# Conclusions

The following conclusions are attained from the sudy:

- During the process of decision-making it is becoming always more evident that it is necessary to make detailed scientific researches. Thus, the realization of livestock production necessitates the analyses of inputs in production.
- Applying Cobb-Douglas production functions gives the opportunity to realize economic analyses of farms for milk caws breeding.
- The study proved that for average production levels the most optimal structure would be: 59.67 % wet food, dry food 27.13 % dry food, 13% concentrate and 0.20 % mineral salts of 51.42 kg food per day..
- If the theoretical arguments concerning the relative effectiveness of different economic systems are subject to empirical testing, it is necessary to do some current estimates of effectiveness indicators (Luptácik M, 2008). In the general case, it is showed that maximum income is obtained for the same input amount where the maximum profit is reached.
- In conclusion, based on our country's conditions, volume system nutrition is prefered.

# References

Beattie B R, Taylor C R. (1993). The Economics of Production. John Wiley and Sons, New York. Reprinted by Kreiger Publishing Co., 179-221. Melbourne, FL.

Belegu, M, Sallaku E (2008). The use of Cobb-Douglas production function in milk production analysis related to three nutritive factors. Aktet, Journal of Institute Alb-Shkenca, 2(2):27-32.

Chiang A C (1984). Fundamental Methods of Mathematical Economics.3rd. ed. Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 331-350.

Debertin D L (1986). Agricultural Production Economics. Macmillan Publishing Company, 166-181.

Edwards C H (1994). Advanced Calculus of Several Variables. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 90-111.

Lambert P J (1995). Advanced Mathematics for Economists. Static and Dynamic Optimization. Basil Blackwell, Oxford, U.K, 114-139.

Luptácik M (2009). Mathematical optimization and economic analysis. *Springer Optimization and Its Applications*. Springer, New York, 294.

Mc Guigan J R, Moyer R C, Harris F H (2008). Managerial Economics: Applications, Strategies, and Tactics. 11th ed. South-Western College Publishing, Boston, Massachusetts, 107-130.

Myslym Osmani (2011). Statistika, ISBN: 978-99956-832-2-1, 241-251.

SPSS (2010). IBM Statistics Base 19. SPSS Inc.102-109.

Sydsaeter K, Hammond P J (1995). Mathematics for Economic Analysis. Prentice-Hall. Inc., A Pearson Education Company, 595-620.

Themelko Henrieta (1998). Ekonomia e Prodhimit Bujqësor.

Waldner D N (1990). Dry Cow Feeding and Management. Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Oklahoma State University, 42-60.